

**To:** Schaefer, Joe[Schaefer.Joe@epa.gov]  
**From:** McComb, Martin  
**Sent:** Sat 8/15/2015 2:07:25 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Update on Public Viewer.

Thanks but the whole structure moved to Durango. I am here alone.

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 15, 2015, at 7:55 AM, Schaefer, Joe <[Schaefer.Joe@epa.gov](mailto:Schaefer.Joe@epa.gov)> wrote:

Do you want me to come out for a few days and spell you in the REOC?

Joe Schaefer  
Environmental Response Team  
(c) 609-865-9111

On Aug 15, 2015, at 9:11 AM, McComb, Martin <[McComb.Martin@epa.gov](mailto:McComb.Martin@epa.gov)> wrote:

Probably not holding up well.

Viewer should work out, gotta let Eric run the ball. I got so angry at Josh and Harvey yesterday, they deployed there own viewer. I actually quit. Any, proud of R8 data team, our data is excellent.

The freak that I can't shrug us that I am the only one with an operational awareness of the big picture. Everyone is focused on "messaging" to the public and the downstream media explosion. No one is helping Steve Way up at the blowout site. I spent yesterday just trying to get him people to manage comms truck, take photos, collect pH, do sampling.

We are about to expose a viewer that basically says he isn't doing anything. I sent Jamie up this am to get data. Burgo may go too. I feel for him.

## Personal Matters/Ex. 6

M

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 15, 2015, at 5:47 AM, Schaefer, Joe <[Schaefer.Joe@epa.gov](mailto:Schaefer.Joe@epa.gov)> wrote:

How are you holding up?

We are packing up and heading home. Is there anything I can start doing to help out more?

Joe Schaefer  
Environmental Response Team  
(c) 609-865-9111

On Aug 15, 2015, at 7:31 AM, McComb, Martin <[McComb.Martin@epa.gov](mailto:McComb.Martin@epa.gov)> wrote:

Second thought, I can see us perhaps loading others data into this tool. Can we have the following web services labels: EPA Operations, EPA Sampling, EPA Recon.

Sorry, head is never entirely clear right now.

M

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 15, 2015, at 5:23 AM, McComb, Martin  
<[McComb.Martin@epa.gov](mailto:McComb.Martin@epa.gov)> wrote:

Thank you and your Team for all the hard work, expertise and talent that went into crafting such an amazing product.

This has been so hard for most of the R8 OSCs. We have been accused of complete incompetence and we haven't been supported in getting our own story out. This so easily could have happened to me. Thank you for helping us tell our side of it.

My comments:

1) I am in awe. Best public viewer I have ever seen. EPA should be proud of the look and function. It has style. Love it.

2) Can we change the labels of the map services "EPA Sample Operations" to "Sampling" and "ASPECT Imagery" to "Reconnaissance".

3) When I open a popup for a sediment sample near Silverton, I always

look to the counter in the top panel because I am use to seeing data stacked on top of each other spatially. So for Location A72, can you tell me why there are 9 items to scroll through? There are 11 samples there.

4) On the Trend Graphs, is there any way to rotate the date labels on the x-axis to vertical so they don't pile up on each other. I thought about changing the format to MM/DD/YYYY but that only saved 2 characters.

5) On those same trend lines, I believe we need to take the actual values of each analyte off the chart. This will get way to messy way too fast. I also believe we need to indicate the Y-axis is on a log scale. We really need the AC Env Unit to weigh in here. Burgo?

6) I remain confused about the x-axis of those trend graphs. I believe they misrepresent the data because we're are not actually graphing on the date/time values. We are simply carving up the x-axis into equal intervals and simply displaying the results in an equal progression through time. I believe this unnaturally flattens the trend. Again, we need answers from EU. Burgo??

7) Change the feature class label "ASPECT Leading Edge" to "Leading Edge". I like this feature class listed first.

8) Reorder the actual flight feature classes from Flight 2 through Flight 5. I really wish we could shorten the feature class labels for each flight but I can come up with nothing substantial. And why don't those punks record mountain time? Central time, bahhhh.

9) love it, love it, love it

M

On Aug 15, 2015, at 1:06 AM, Latham (Polinko), Janine  
<[Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com](mailto:Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com)> wrote:

Update on the Public Viewer.

We have made updates to the public viewer.

Here is the url again:

<https://gis.eparm.net/GoldKingMine/>

#### Generic Credentials

user = **Nonresponsive**  
pass =

We set up the logic for the analytical page and trend graph for only validated data. It became a little complicated with trying to filter out the Prelim data form R8.

We are in control of the combine that feeds this project so to lessen the chances of data getting in here that has been published during the day and showing up on this viewer we will only combine this right now at night until there becomes a need to combine multiple times a day.

We have not yet finished the development on the Water Quality Crosstab and can comment out the link to it if its not ready by the time you want to go public. Wanted to give you a look at how it will be. The water quality parameters will be the fields on top and then every subsequent day will show up as a record. We are going to try to wrap it up in the AM **but have a question for the group, is it ok to show all the water quality measurements even the ones that do not have validated data associated to it or do you only want to show those water quality measurements for those samples taken that have validated data.**

We also are still doing a qa to make sure our logic has worked to only show those locations that have validated data provided to HQ showing. We need a little time in the AM to complete this QA and troubleshoot. **I will let you know when we finish this QA in the AM. This should not go public until this is complete.**

I wanted to pass this information to you so you can start looking at it and provide comment and we can address everything at once.

Janine

**From:** Burgo, Daniel [<mailto:Burgo.Daniel@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 14, 2015 7:21 PM  
**To:** McComb, Martin <[McComb.Martin@epa.gov](mailto:McComb.Martin@epa.gov)>; Delgado, Eric <[Delgado.Eric@epa.gov](mailto:Delgado.Eric@epa.gov)>; Nattis, Randy <[Nattis.Randy@epa.gov](mailto:Nattis.Randy@epa.gov)>; Wenning, Stephanie <[Wenning.Stephanie@epa.gov](mailto:Wenning.Stephanie@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Latham (Polinko), Janine <[Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com](mailto:Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: Earlier phone call

Guys and Gal,

I had a quick phone call with Janine and wanted to pass on some information to the group. Tomorrow morning the R6, 8, and 9 Sample locations and information will all look the same and be displayed the same. We will get a copy it tomorrow morning to review before it goes public. We are hoping that we can all be on the same page before it goes public.

It will only have locations and data displayed that have been submitted to HQ in the three links – trend graphs, analytical link, and water quality. They will massage/duct tape the data on the back end to make it look as consistent as possible. They have just started looking at all three regions together to see where we are a little different.

What will look different are the extraneous data layers like river miles or any data layers not related to what is coming out of scribe.

GET SOME REST JANINE!

Thanks

Burgo

**From:** Burgo, Daniel [<mailto:Burgo.Daniel@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 14, 2015 6:31 PM  
**To:** Latham (Polinko), Janine  
<[Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com](mailto:Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com)>  
**Subject:** Earlier phone call

I know you said you wanted a messaging going out to the group. I'm guessing you're busy and was hoping you could add in some detail language. I will reword the email so it appears to be based on a call and you not using me like a puppet ☺

Some of the key points to share are:

The public viewer will be able to publish by tomorrow morning

The data from r6/r9 doesn't contain the same detail as r8 so it will need some massaging

You will be getting with everyone that is publishing to get them on the same page

And most importantly leave you the hell alone.

OSC Dan Burgo

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
5 Post Office Square  
Suite 100 (OSRR02-2)  
Boston, MA 02109

(617) 918-1052 Desk  
(617) 918-0052 Fax

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.